



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/712,873 11/15/2000		Jason D. Kridner	TI-29077	9315		
7:	590 10/03/2005	EXAM	EXAMINER			
Robert D. Marshall			NALVEN, A	NALVEN, ANDREW L		
Texas Instrume	nts Incorporated					
P. O. Box 655474, M/S 3999			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Dallas, TX 75265			2134			

DATE MAILED: 10/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/712,873	KRIDNER, JASON D.
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit
	Andrew L. Nalven	2134
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
REPLY FILED <u>29 August 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDIT	ON FOR ALLOWANCE.
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or this application, applicant must timely file one of the for places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in confollowing time periods:	llowing replies: (1) an ameno Notice of Appeal (with appea npliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	dment, affidavit, or other evidence, which al fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; o
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A		forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.0		N THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW
sions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened it, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more different adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	n and the corresponding amount o statutory period for reply originally hths after the mailing date of the fi	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in nal rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in co of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply mus	extension thereof (37 CFR	41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a) They raise new issues that would require further (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE be	consideration and/or search	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in appeal; and/or	better form for appeal by ma	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a		finally rejected claims.
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR		of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324)
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection		
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be the non-allowable claim(s).		
For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): how the new or amended claims would be rejected is p		

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.

12.	Note the attached	Information	Disclosure	Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08	or PTO-1449)	Paper No(s).	
12	Othor							

13. ∐ Other:	_•		

Claim(s) allowed: \_ Claim(s) objected to: \_\_\_ Claim(s) rejected:

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments on pages 7-8 focus upon the alleged lack of disclosure in the Seo reference of a digital to analog converter directly receiving a disable signal. Examiner notes that in the final rejection mailed 29 June 2005, Examiner did not rely upon Seo to teach this feature. Thus, Applicant's argument is without merit. Examiner has relied upon the Nagata reference to teach a digital to analog converter directly receiving a disable signal (Nagata, column 4 lines 28-49, Figure 3, column 1 lines 51-61).

GREGORY MORSE

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100